1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN	
4	Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice	
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Legal Representatives for Complainant	
8	BEFORE T	<del></del>
9	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the 1 <sup>st</sup> Amended Petition to	Case No. R-1991
12	Revoke Probation Against:	FIRST AMENDED
13		
14	Modesto, CA 95350  Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5464	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIE</u>	<u>S</u>
20	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation	
21	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about June 28, 1985, the	e Respiratory Care Board issued Respiratory
24	Care Practitioner License Number 5464 to Lorraine A	A. Eivazians formerly known as Lorraine
25	Fowler (Respondent). The Respiratory Care Practition	ner License was in effect at all times relevant
26	to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2006, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28	///	
	4	

1	DISCIPLINARY HISTORY	
2	3. On August 4, 2005, Petition to Revoke Probation Case No. R-1991 was	
3	filed against respondent based on her failure to comply with the terms of probation imposed in	
4	Case No. R-1891 which was effective August 9, 2004. Respondent's Respiratory Care	
5	Practitioner License was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's license	
6	was placed on probation for a period of two (2) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy	
7	of the decision in R-1891 is attached as <b>Exhibit A</b> and is incorporated by reference.	
8	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
9	4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Respiratory Care	
10	Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All	
11	section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
12	5. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of	
13	California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3,	
14	the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."	
15	6. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and	
16	revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."	
17	7. Section 3754 of the Code states: "The board may deny an application for,	
18	or issue with terms and conditions, or suspend or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon,	
19	a license in any decision made after a hearing, as provided in Section 3753."	
20	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>	
21	8. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:	
22	"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the	
23	board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed	
24	a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and	
25	prosecution of the case."	
26	9. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:	
27	"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include	

attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing,

1	and service fees."	
2	10. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:	
3	"(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include,	
4	among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with	
5	monitoring the probation. "	
6	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
7	(Biological Fluid Testing)	
8	11. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2	
9	stated:	
10	"Respondent, at her expense, shall participate in random testing, including,	
11	but not limited to, biological fluid testingfor the entire probation period. The	
12	frequency and location of testing will be determined by the Board."	
13	12. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to	
14	comply with Probation Condition 2, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this	
15	violation are as follows:	
16	A. Respondent was informed that the Board had contracted with	
17	Compass Vision Inc. (CVI) to perform random testing, collection and analysis of biological fluids.	
18	Respondent was informed that she was responsible to telephone an automated, toll free number on	
19	a daily basis to determine if she was required to report to a collection site for testing. The date and	
20	time of all calls made to the system are logged into CVI's database.	
21	B. On or about January 31, 2005, respondent was selected to provide	
22	a specimen for testing and analysis; however, she did not do so.	
23	C. On April 15, 2005, at approximately 7:06 a.m., respondent	
24	telephoned CVI and was directed to provide a specimen. Respondent failed to report for testing.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

## 1 SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION 2 (Abstain from Mood Altering Substances) 13. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 3 3 4 stated: 5 "Respondent shall completely abstain from the possession or use of alcohol, any 6 and all other mood altering drugs, substances and their associated paraphernalia, 7 except when the drugs are lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a 8 documented medical treatment." 14. 9 Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to 10 comply with Probation Condition 3, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this 11 violation are as follows: A. Compass Vision Inc. (CVI) conducts ethyl glucoronide (EtG) 12 13 testing, which is a biological marker for alcohol use, and can be detected in urine for up to five 14 days after alcohol use. B. On or about September 20, 2004, respondent provided a specimen 15 16 for testing and analysis, which tested positive for EtG in the amount of 1480 nanograms per liter. 17 In an interview with the Medical Review Officer, respondent admitted that she drank a glass of 18 wine. C. 19 On November 30, 2004, respondent provided a specimen for testing 20 and analysis which tested positive for EtG in the amount of 440 nanograms per milliliter. 21 D. On February 9, 2005, respondent provided a specimen for testing 22 and analysis which tested positive for EtG in the amount of 820 nanograms per milliliter. 23 E. On May 6, 2005, respondent submitted a specimen for testing and 24 analysis which tested positive for EtG in the amount of 3100 nanograms per milliliter. 25 F. On September 14, 2005, respondent submitted a specimen for 26 testing and analysis which tested positive for EtG in the amount of 10,000 nanograms per milliliter. 27 ///

28

1	THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION		
2	(Quarterly Reports)		
3	15. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 5		
4	stated:		
5	"Respondent shall file quarterly reports of compliance under penalty of perjury"		
6	16. Respondent failed to provide a quarterly report for April 1 through June 30,		
7	2005 which was due between July 1 and July 7, 2005. She has not provided a quarterly report for		
8	July 1 through September 30, 2005 which was due between October 1 and 7, 2005.		
9	FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION		
10	(Probation Monitoring Costs)		
11	17. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 7		
12	stated:		
13	"All costs incurred for probation monitoring during the entire probation shall be		
14	paid by the Respondent."		
15	18. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to		
16	comply with Probation Condition 7, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this		
17	violation are as follows:		
18	A. Respondent has not paid probation costs of \$100.00 per month for		
19	April through October 2005 and is currently in arrears \$600.00.		
20	FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION		
21	(Cost Recovery)		
22	19. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 11		
23	stated:		
24	"Respondent shall pay to the Board a sum not to exceed the costs of the		
25	investigation and prosecution of this case. That sum shall be \$910.00 (nine		
26	hundred ten dollars) and shall be paid in full directly to the Board, in equal		
27	quarterly payments, within 12 months from the effective date of this decision"		
28	20. Respondent is in violation of this condition because she failed to make		

1	quarterly payments of \$227.50 due on February 9, 2005, May 9, 2005 and August 9, 2005. She is		
2	currently in arrears \$682.50.		
3	<u>PRAYER</u>		
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
5	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:		
6	1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Respiratory Care Board of		
7	California in Case No. R-1891 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby		
8	revoking Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5464 issued to Lorraine A. Eivazians;		
9	2. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 5464,		
10	issued to Lorraine A.Eivazians;		
11	3. Ordering Lorraine A. Eivazians to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs		
12	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if probation is continued or extended, the		
13	costs of probation monitoring;		
14	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
15			
16	DATED: October 28, 2005		
17			
18	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:		
19	STEPHANIE NUNEZ  Executive Officer		
20	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs		
21	State of California Complainant		
22	Companian		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## Exhibit A

**Decision and Order** 

Respiratory Care Board of California Case No. R-1891